Debate
Emerges Over
Alcohol Guidelines
By. Michael Wood

If you will indulge me for a moment, please imagine this headline:
Surgeon General suggests Americans smoke two cigarettes a day

Absurd, you say? The idea that our government would actively set a guideline for the consumption of a substance known to cause disease and death would be ridiculous, correct? The public would be up in arms if our government set health guidelines that included smoking two cigarettes a day!

So, we must ask, why does the opposite hold true when the government considers reducing the guidelines on how much alcohol one should consume in a healthy diet? In the case of tobacco, the Surgeon General has, in fact, emphasized that one of the most important actions people can take to improve their health is to quit smoking altogether. When it comes to guidelines on the consumption of alcohol, the issue takes on a different level of complexity. Despite the fact that the Surgeon General reports that 66 million individuals (nearly a quarter of the adult and adolescent population) reported binge drinking in the past month, and that the yearly economic impact of alcohol misuse results in a yearly economic impact of $249 billion for our country, the government still considers two alcoholic drinks a day to be part of a healthy diet!

Some experts are finally speaking up. Recent comments by George F. Koob PhD, Director of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, have triggered a fervent debate that highlights both health concerns and political divisions. His comments regarding the scheduled 2025 review of the "Dietary Guidelines for Americans," and within these, the government's recommendations on alcohol consumption, have brought the issue to a head.

Dr. Koob is an internationally-recognized expert on alcohol, and in his role at the NIAAA, he provides leadership in the national effort to reduce the public health burden associated with alcohol misuse. Dr. Koob has suggested that the government reconsider the current guideline of limiting alcohol consumption to two drinks per day, suggesting instead a possible pivot towards the Canadian guideline of limiting alcohol consumption to two drinks per week.

Conservative critics are raising eyebrows at potential changes that could introduce stricter guidelines - these changes being seen as impacting the choices of Americans in their daily lives. Texas Republican Rep. Troy Nehls criticizes these potential changes, framing them as an overreach of government control. This perspective underscores the larger political division on the issue, with criticism pointing towards the Democrats' alleged desire to regulate personal choices.

Amidst this debate, the role of alcohol as a social and recreational component of society comes under scrutiny, further fueling ideological disputes. The controversy takes an intriguing turn as the Prohibition Party platform for 2024 advocates for more stringent guidelines on alcohol consumption, while striking a balance by recognizing each individual's right to make their own decision to drink or not. The party's stance, encouraging the education of Americans about the risks associated with alcohol, closely aligns with experts who fear lenient dietary guidelines might undermine public health.

The larger question of the role of alcohol in society highlights the tension between individual freedoms and collective health concerns. Current guidelines caution that even small amounts of alcohol can pose health risks, especially for certain cancers and for cardiovascular health - yet there is strong political resistance to lowering alcohol consumption guidelines as part of a healthy diet. This perplexing gap between the recommended alcohol consumption guidelines and the recognized health risks of consuming alcohol underscores the challenges public health officials face in striking a balance between scientific evidence and social norms.

So why has the government taken such a different course with alcohol when compared with tobacco? Perhaps it is only a question of time until the dangers of alcohol are placed on par with the dangers of tobacco, and the government guidelines are amended. It is no secret that excessive alcohol consumption can lead to serious health complications; the potential for addiction, negative impacts on both mental and physical health, liver problems, cardiovascular issues, and certain types of cancer are well-documented. These studies underscore the need for careful consideration when recommending alcohol intake.

Nonetheless, the current USDA dietary guidelines recommend up to two alcoholic drinks per day as part of a healthy diet. This seems counterintuitive given the well-documented health risks associated with alcohol consumption. The disparity between the government's dietary guidelines and the known risks of alcohol consumption highlights a larger issue in public health guidelines. Striking a balance between social norms, individual preferences, corporate interests, and scientific evidence does pose challenges; challenges which were, however, successfully overcome in recognizing and limiting the dangers of tobacco use.

In a time when health-consciousness is increasing, it's crucial for dietary guidelines to reflect the most up-to-date and evidence-based information. The apparent incongruity between the current alcohol consumption guidelines and the associated health risks of alcohol calls for a deeper examination of the decision-making process behind such guidelines. Ultimately, public health officials must consider the broader impact of their recommendations, especially when it comes to substances known to pose harm. The aim should always be to provide clear and accurate guidance that empowers individuals to make informed decisions for their own well-being.

The paradox of government guidelines that suggest two alcoholic drinks a day are part of a healthy diet and the alignment of such guidelines with established medical knowledge raises questions about the motivation of the politicians making these decisions. These intricacies illuminate the complexity of policy-making, where the tension between personal choices, corporate interests, and public health outcomes remains palpable.

The Prohibition Party has taken a stance on the issue and welcomes a revision of the "Dietary Guidelines for Americans." As these discussions unfold over the next two years, our hope is for guidelines that genuinely prioritize citizens' health and well-being, offering a clear and informed path forward for individuals seeking to responsibly navigate their health choices.